IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

TRANXITION, INC., a Delaware corporation,
 	
                    Plaintiff,
 	
    vs.
 	
APPSENSE, INC., a Delaware corporation,
 	
                    Defendant.
	Civil Case No. 3:12-cv-1403-BR
	
	[PROPOSED] CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

Based upon the claim construction briefs filed by the parties as well as the arguments

presented during the hearing on August 16, 2013, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

During the claim construction process, the parties agreed on, and the Court hereby

adopts, the following constructions:

[PROPOSED] CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

Term	Agreed Construction
Personality information	Collection of configuration settings and files that represent the personality of the computer or other device
Configuration information	Information related to configuration settings
Personality information store	Computer memory that stores personality information
Persistent storage medium	Disk drives or other persistent storage
Personality	No construction necessary
Adopting a personality of a source	No construction necessary
Software that is automatically executed when the storage medium is connected to the source or the target	No construction necessary
Application	No construction necessary
Application that is to execute as the process	No construction necessary
Function	No construction necessary
Personality information of the source is not stored on to a personality information store of the target	No construction necessary
Personality information extracted from the source	No construction necessary
Setting a registry entry of a system/wherein a registry entry of a system registry is set	No construction necessary
Loaded as part of each process	No construction necessary
Intercept/interception/intercepting requests/of requests/the requests	No construction necessary
Canonical form	No construction necessary
Target-specific form	No construction necessary
Functions that are to be	No construction necessary

[PROPOSED] CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

intercepted	
Intercept the invocation of the located function	No construction necessary
Executes before other code of the process/executed before other code of the process	Executes before any other code of the process/executed before any other code of the process
Process	An instance of the execution of an application on a target device

In addition, after considering the evidence and the parties' arguments and for the reasons expressed during the August 16, 2013 hearing, the Court adopts the following constructions:

Term	Construction
Target	No construction necessary
Source	No construction necessary
Storage Medium	No construction necessary
Startup interceptor DLL	Dynamic Link Library that intercepts requests for personality information and that is loaded as part of each process and that executes before other code of the process
Personality information of the provided storage medium	Personality information of the source that is stored on the storage medium
Injecting code into the process / injects code into a process	No construction necessary
a component that intercepts requests to retrieve personality information of the target	This term will be address further in supplemental briefing and expert testimony, to be filed no later than October 11, 2013.
a component that, when a request is intercepted, retrieves personality information of a source and replies to the request with the retrieved personality information of the source, rather	This term will be address further in supplemental briefing and expert testimony, to be filed no later than October 11, 2013.

[PROPOSED] CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER   3

Term	Construction
than the personality information of the target	

Accordingly, the Court adopts the claim constructions set out above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _October 7, 2013_

_____
The Honorable Anna J. Brown
United States District Court Judge

[PROPOSED] CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER   4